

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

19 November 2019

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Niu, Yaoling (2020) 'What drives the continued India-Asia convergence since the collision at 55 Ma?', Science bulletin., 65 (3). pp. 169-172.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2019.11.018>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

© 2020 This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

### Additional information:

---

## Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

2  
3 **What drives the continued India-Asia convergence since the**  
4 **collision at 55 Ma?**

5  
6 Yaoling Niu \*

7  
8 China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China

9 Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

10 Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (Marine Geology), Qingdao  
11 266061, China

12  
13 \* Corresponding author. E-mail address: [yaoling.niu@durham.ac.uk](mailto:yaoling.niu@durham.ac.uk)  
14

15  
16 Submitted for *Science Bulletin as News & Views*  
17 October 15, 2019  
18

19 Revised for *Science Bulletin as News & Views*  
20 October 30, 2019  
21  
22

23  
24  
25 What may drive the India-Asia convergence has been puzzling and has in fact puzzled  
26 many. According to the theory of plate tectonics and the concept of Wilson Cycle, continental  
27 collision means the loss of seafloor subduction and thus the disappearance of slab pull for  
28 driving plate motion [1-3], yet the India-Asia convergence has continued to this day at a rate of  
29 ~ 40 mm/yr [4] since the collision ~ 55 million years ago [5]. This apparent puzzle has made  
30 some to question the validity of the Wilson Cycle concept and to raise doubts about slab pull  
31 being the primary driving force for plate motion [1-3]. Ridge push, which is well-understood  
32 as a secondary force, has thus been emphasized by some; the idea of mantle plumes as driving  
33 force has also been renewed; and subduction of the Indian mantle lithosphere itself has been  
34 claimed as being adequate to drive the India-Asia convergence [6].

35 Given the fundamental importance of the question towards complete understanding of  
36 global tectonic processes in general and the origin and evolution of the Tibetan Plateau in  
37 particular, it is necessary to resolve the puzzle. In this short *News and Views*, I do not wish to  
38 enter debates on many details but offer the results of my objective analysis on observations in  
39 terms of simple physics and readily understandable geological concepts and principles. To  
40 ensure the better appreciation of my analysis, I first summarize my solution to the puzzle as  
41 follows:  
42

43 *The continued India-Asia convergence since the collision ~ 55 million years ago has*  
44 *been driven by the subducting slab pull of the giant Indo-Australia plate at the*  
45 *Sumatra-Java trench. The convergence will cease to continue once the Indo-*  
46 *Australia plate disintegrates into several smaller plates in the future.*

As we know, one of the primary assumptions of the plate tectonics theory is that the surface plates are rigid and do not deform internally but can move relative to one another along plate boundaries [2,3]. Top panel of Fig. 1 is a portion of the present-day global plate tectonics map with named plates are some of the original 12 rigid plates identified 45 years ago [1]. The giant Indo-Australia plate is one of these rigid plates. Recent studies have shown that most of the plates are strictly speaking not really rigid [7], but the “rigid” assumption remains a valid approximation [3]. For example, the colored localities in the giant Indo-Australia plate indicate the non-rigid property with seismicity [7] seen in the bottom panel of Fig. 3. Nevertheless, there exist no “plate boundary” features in the interiors of the giant Indo-Australia plate such as “spreading ridges”, “trenches” and transform faults” with obvious displacement. Therefore, correctly-speaking, the giant Indo-Australia plate is a not-perfectly rigid, but coherent single plate with its edges bounded by ridges (Central Indian Ridge to the west and Southeast India Ridge to the south), trenches (Sumatra, Java, New Hebrides, Tonga and Kermadec to the north and east), large transforms (with the Arabic plate to the northwest and with the Pacific plate along the Macquarie ridge to the southeast) and collision zone with the Eurasia plate along the Himalaya (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 shows that in the absolute plate motion (APM) reference frame, the eastern part (Australia and the vicinity) of the giant Indo-Australia plate is moving northward at the rate of  $\sim > 70$  mm/yr while the western part (India and the vicinity) is moving only at the rate of  $\sim 40$  mm/yr because of the impediment at the Himalaya as the result of the India-Asia “collision” and convergence. The fact that the Antarctic plate is essentially stagnant in the APM framework (Fig. 1) means that the opening of the Southeast Indian Ocean is simply and entirely caused by the northward moving of the Indo-Australia plate pulled into the Sumatra-Java-New Hebrides trench to the north. The continued northward slab pull into the  $> 4000$  km long Sumatra- Java Trench can readily carry northward movement of the Indian continental lithosphere of the same giant Indo-Australia plate, making the continued India-Asia convergence possible and thus the continued Himalayan orogenesis. So, the continued slab pull at the Sumatra-Java trench and the continued resistance along the Himalaya make the giant Indo-Australia plate under increased shearing stress, which is in fact well expressed as intraplate seismicity indicated in colored localities (bottom of Fig. 1), where the largest intraplate strike-slip earthquake of magnitude 8.6  $M_w$  occurred on 11 April 2012 at  $2.311^\circ\text{N}$   $93.063^\circ\text{E}$  [8].

From the above observations and analysis, we cannot avoid the conclusion that the giant Indo-Australia plate will in no distant future breakup because of the accumulated shear stress caused by slab pull at the Sumatra-Java trench and the impediment of the Indian continent along the Himalaya. Once this plate breaks up into smaller plates with the presumed Capricorn plate completely separated, the India-Asia convergence will cease to continue and the Himalayan orogenesis will be replaced by orogenic collapse [3]. Fig. 2 summarizes my understanding discussed above. I fully realize the century-long and continued debate on the origin, evolution and lithospheric structure of the Tibetan Plateau [9-14], but I argue below why the presentation in Fig. 2 is a highly likely scenario in terms of straightforward physics and geology that should be considered in future models.

Fig 2 (lower right) shows cross sections of the present-day down-going slab at the Sumatra (b) and Java (c) trench [11], which exerts primary force pulling the northeastward movement of the giant Indo-Australia plate. Fig. 2 (upper right) shows the cross-section (a) of the present-day topography and my hypothetical lithosphere structure of the Tibetan Plateau. The Indian plate here refers to its mantle lithosphere and portions of its deep crust. The original Tethyan seafloor lithosphere has long detached and sunk into the mantle at depths of  $> 1000$  km (detected from  $\sim 1000$  to  $2200$  km depths) at  $\sim 21^\circ\text{N}$  beneath India [15]. Assuming its sinking speed of  $24$  mm/yr [15], the shallowest depth of  $\sim 1000$  km for the very last bits of the sinking

Tethyan oceanic lithosphere means that the complete slab breakoff took place at ~ 40 Ma, which is later than suggested in the literature, but about ~ 15 Myrs after the India-Asia collision. This “delayed” breakoff (vs. the time of collision) is physically more likely because slab breakoff can only take place when the shear stress associated with the buoyancy contrast between the dense sinking oceanic lithosphere and the buoyant “rising” continental lithosphere exceeds the lithospheric strength (see below). Consequently, the subducting leading edge of the Tethyan seafloor lithosphere leads the way for the Indian continental lithosphere underthrusting possible (Fig. 2).

Because mantle lithosphere of Precambrian age as a result of prior melt extraction is compositionally depleted and physically buoyant relative to the asthenosphere [13,16,17], it cannot subduct and sink into the asthenosphere. Hence, it is physically straightforward why the Indian lithosphere will underthrust beneath the Asia plate rather than sinking in the asthenosphere (Fig. 2). However, this continental underthrusting would not have happened in the first place without the subducting/thrusting of the dense Tethyan seafloor lithosphere as the leading edge as elaborated above. On the basis of the above analysis and the concept of the isostasy, I argue that the Tibetan Plateau has double lithosphere with the Indian lithosphere thrusting beneath the Eurasian lithosphere, a scenario very similar to the 95 years old idea by Argand [9]. The Argand model, however, has been rejected or “ruled out” because (1) it is too simple using little data; (2) plate tectonics theory, in terms of the Wilson Cycle concept, cannot explain the continued India-Asia convergence; and (3) decades of multidisciplinary studies by the international communities with voluminous publications have shown extraordinary complexities beyond any single model. But the latter two reasons are not scientifically persuasive. Saying “complex” is the expression of a sense of being lost. It is my understanding that large scale Earth processes are likely very simple, but the key skill to discover the simplicity is to correctly identify the primary variables that control the processes. This methodological statement is proven by the simple and elegant theory of plate tectonics that explains much of the global geology on all scales at least since the Proterozoic. Then, what are the primary variables that control the Tibetan Plateau? It is the isostasy, the thickened and buoyant double lithosphere (Fig. 2) and thus the plateau elevation. That is, the plateau is the surface expression of the thickened (doubled) lithosphere with intrinsic buoyancy (upper right of Fig. 2).

I expect that many will continue to disagree on the above analysis and conclusion because different images of seismic tomography can be interpreted differently [12-14], but I agree that (1) the INDEPTH seismic profile is not representative [12] and (2) the Tibetan Plateau has excessively thickened lithosphere throughout [13], which is manifested isostatically by the broad plateau surface elevation (upper right of Fig. 2). The idea of lithosphere thinning by convective removal, delamination or foundering has been popular, but this idea has two obvious difficulties: (1) the compositionally depleted continental mantle lithosphere is physically buoyant [13,16,17] and cannot sink into the dense asthenosphere; (2) if the latter did happen, then the thinned lithosphere and the elevated dense asthenosphere (i.e., the rising lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary) will not support the plateau in terms of isostasy and Archimedean principles. I recommend interested debaters to examine the efficacies of recent shear wave velocity data and models that apply globally while also offering a unique picture of the thickened Tibetan Plateau lithosphere [13].

In summary, I present my analysis and understanding here to (1) emphasize that the continued India-Asia convergence does not negate, but rather further confirm that subducting slab pull is the very primary force that drives plate motion and plate tectonics; and to (2) offer a renewed impetus for re-evaluating the merit of the century-old Argand idea using simplest physics, abundant new observations and advanced methods developed in recent decades.



## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NSFC grants (41130314, 41630968), NSFC-Shandong Joint Fund for Marine Science Research Centers (U1606401) and the 111 project (B18048). I thank two anonymous reviewers for comments and Dr. Zhang Li for invitation and handling the manuscript.

## 1. References

- [1] Forsyth, D., Uyeda, S., 1975. On the relative importance of the driving forces of plate motion. *Geophysical Journal of International* **43**, 163-200.
- [2] Davies, G.F., Richards, M.A., 1992. Mantle convection. *Journal of Geology* **100**, 151-206.[3]
- [3] Niu, Y.L., 2014. Geological understanding of plate tectonics: Basic concepts, illustrations, examples and new perspectives. *Global Tectonics and Metallogeny* **10**, 23-46.
- [4] Zhang, P.Z., Shen, Z.K., Wang, M., Gan, W.J., Burgmann, R., Molnar, P., Wang, Q., Niu, Z.J., Sun, J.Z., Wu, J.C., Sun, H.R., You, X.Z., 2004. Continuous deformation of the Tibetan Plateau from global positioning system data. *Geology* **32**, 809-812.
- [5] Zheng, Y.F., Wu, F.Y., 2018. The timing of continental collision between India and Asia. *Science Bulletin* **63**, 1649-1654.
- [6] Capitanio, F.A., Morra, G., Goes, S., Weinberg, R.F., Moresi, L., 2010. India-Asia convergence by subduction of the Greater Indian continent. *Nature Geoscience* **3**, 136-139.
- [7] Gordon, R.G., 1998. The plate tectonic approximation: Plate nonrigidity, diffuse plate boundaries, and global plate reconstructions. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Science* **26**, 615-642.
- [8] Wei, S.J., Helmberger, D., Avouac, J.-P., 2013. Modelling the 2012 Wharton basin earthquake
- [9] Argand, É. 1924. La Tectonique de l'Asie. *Congrès géologique international, Comptes rendus de la XIII<sup>e</sup> session, en Belgique 1922, Liège*, 171-372.
- [10] Houseman, G.A., McKenzie, D.P., Molnar, P., 1981. Convective instability of a thickened boundary layer and its relevance for the thermal evolution of continental convergent belts. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **86**, 6115-6132.
- [11] Goes, S., Agrusta, R., van Hunen, J., Garel, F., 2017. Subduction-transition zone interaction: A review. *Geosphere* **13**, 644-664.
- [12] Zhou, H.W., Murphy, M.A., 2005. Tomographic evidence for wholesale underthrusting of India beneath the entire Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **25**, 445-457.
- [13] McKenzie, D., Priestley, K., 2016. Speculations on the formation of cratons and cratonic basins. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **435**, 94-104.
- [14] Chen, M., Niu, F.L., Tromp, J., Lenardic, A., Lee, C.-T.A., Cao, W.R., Ribeiro, J., 2017. Lithospheric foundering and underthrusting imaged beneath Tibet. *Nature Communications* **8**, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms15659.
- [15] Van der Meer, D.G., van Hinderen, D.J.J., Spalman, W., 2018. Atlas of the underworld: Slab remnants in the mantle, their sinking history, and a new outlook on lower mantle viscosity.
- [16] Jordan, T.H., 1988. Structure and formation of the continental lithosphere. *Journal of Petrology Special Lithosphere Issue*, 11-37,
- [17] Niu, Y.L., O'Hara, M.J., Pearce, J.A., 2003. Initiation of subduction zones as a consequence of lateral compositional buoyancy contrast within the lithosphere: A petrologic perspective. *Journal of Petrology* **44**, 851-866.

Figure captions:

**Fig. 1.** *Top*, portion of the present-day global plate tectonics map using the absolute plate motion (APM) reference ([http://jules.unavco.org/Voyager/GEM\\_GSRM](http://jules.unavco.org/Voyager/GEM_GSRM)) to focus on

the Indo-Pacific conjunction region showing the giant Indo-Australia composite plate consisting of continental (India and Australia) and oceanic lithosphere. This plate is one of the 12 rigid plates globally recognized 45 years ago [1] and is still considered a single plate today as there is no within-plate boundary feature (e.g., spreading center, subduction and transform) with displacement. The India-Asia continental collision suture is indicated. The reason why the India-Asia convergence continues is understood here as the India plate being passively dragged by subduction of the same giant Indo-Australia plate due to slab pull under gravity into the Sumatra-Java Trench [3]. **Bottom**, the same plate tectonics map as above with color-coded areas recently discovered to show seismicity, suggesting that many plates are not rigid but do deform, which is true in much of the continental China, especially the Tibetan Plateau and within the giant Indo-Australia plate. These non-rigid regions (narrow or areal) have been termed diffuse plate boundaries [8]. Note that the colored areal region in the giant Indo-Australia plate means that this plate is *being torn* and will break up, and the predicted “Capricorn” plate may completely separate in the future. This will end the India-Asia convergence and the Himalayan orogenesis will be replaced by the orogenic collapse [3].

**Fig. 2.** *Left*, small portion of the bottom panel of Fig. 1, indicating the localities of the three cross-sections (a), (b) and (c) to show on the *right*. (a) Shows the underthrusting of the India lithosphere beneath the Asian (Tibetan) lithosphere, passively driven or dragged by subduction and slab pull of the same giant Indo-Australia plate into the Sumatra (b) and Java (c) trench (slab geometries after [12]). The interpretation offered here differs from all the many different models of varying sophistication but is consistent with the grand regional geology and physics, including the origin of the Tibetan Plateau. Note, the Indian plate underthrusting model agrees with the model by Argand [10], but has more efficacies in explaining many specific details, especially isostasy.

Figure 1 (Niu, SB, 2019)






Figure 2 (Niu, SB, 2019)

